



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

110
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,058	12/29/2004	Gazza Romolo	23163	9853
535	7590	05/09/2007	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 900			3612	
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/09/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,058	ROMOLO, GAZZA	
	Examiner Hilary Gutman	Art Unit 3612	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 7-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 5, on line 10, "that" should be deleted.

In claim 7, line 2, "claim 5" should apparently be "claim 6".

Claim 8 depends from claim 1, now canceled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "a mounting frame" in lines 11-12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Perhaps "with a mounting frame for a backward-facing baby seat" (lines 11-12) should be "wherein".

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the released or latched condition" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the handle" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 8, line 4, the phrase “and/or” is confusing and unclear as to what specifically the applicant intends to recite. Perhaps “at least one of the vehicle frame and the vehicle seat” should instead be recited.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "a mounting frame" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Additionally this claim depends from canceled claim 1.

Claim 9 recites the following limitations: " latch elements " in line 18, "the locking position" in lines 19-20, and "the latch elements" in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitations "it" in line 3, "a freeing position" in line 8, "a latched position" in line 9, "its freeing position" in line 15, and "its latched position" in lines 15-16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitations "upwardly flared slots" in line 4, "the slots" in line 8, and "the latch elements" in lines 8-9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the baby-seat front edge" in line 3 and "the car seat" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the latch pin" in lines 7 and 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "their blocking position" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitations “the handle” in line 5, “the latched position” in line 5, and “the mounting elements” in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 571-272-6662.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Hilary Gutman
April 30, 2007